

**Cool Season Food Legumes
Crop Germplasm Committee**

Report

May 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5		
6		
7		
8		
9	I. Introduction	3
10		
11	II. Present Germplasm Activities	4
12	Cicer	4
13	Lens	4
14	Core Collections for Cicer and Lens	4
15	Lathyrus and Trigonella	4
16	Vicia	7
17		
18	III. Status of Crop Vulnerability	8
19	Cicer	8
20	Lens	8
21	Lupinus	8
22	Vicia	8
23		
24	IV. Germplasm Needs	9
25	Collection	9
26	Evaluation	9
27	Enhancement	9
28	Preservation	10
29		
30	V. Recommendations	10
31	Research Priorities	10
32	Level of Support.	10
33		
34	VI. Source of Funding	11
35		
36	VIII. Contributors to this Report	12
37		

5 I. Introduction

6
7 Cool season food legumes, such as lentil (*Lens culinaris*), chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*), faba
8 bean (*Vicia faba*) are commercially important crops in the western United States. The most
9 important of this group is lentil which is grown on an average of 150,000 acres annually in the
10 Washington, Idaho and Oregon area popularly known as the Palouse region. Chickpea is grown
11 to a lesser extent when compared to lentil but is grown over a wider area. About 6,000 to 8,000
12 acres are currently grown in California, 15,000 to 20,000 acres in the Palouse region, and various
13 small acreages in the states of Montana, Colorado, and North Dakota and South Dakota.
14 Chickpea production in the Palouse region has increased rapidly since 1993 and now stands at
15 20,000 acres annually. Faba bean is grown in Oregon, Washington, California, Wyoming and
16 Montana where the crop is mainly produced for forage.

17
18 Other potential cool season food legumes that should be investigated and studied include
19 *Lathyrus* species, primarily *L. sativus*, and *Trigonella* species, primarily *T. foenum-graecum*.
20 These food legumes have potential in the arid west where they can be grown in rotation with
21 cereals. As a group, these crops can tolerate cool, dry conditions and low fertility. They often
22 are grown in marginal areas that are unsuited to other crops.

23
24 Of this group of crops, the most important to the U.S. economy is lentil. Of the total
25 production of over 200 million pounds annually, about 75% is exported. Europe, North Africa
26 and Latin America are primary markets. Most of the chickpea, faba bean and lupin crops are
27 used domestically. The production of chickpea in the Palouse region and California directly
28 benefits the U.S. economy by reducing imports from Mexico and providing an export
29 commodity. Lupin is reemerging as a cover crop for cotton in the Southeast (AL, GA, SC);
30 currently there are approximately 2000 acres planted each year; the acreage is expected to
31 increase dramatically within the next five years.

32
33 Most of the acreage of lentil in the U.S. is planted to 'Brewer', with limited production of
34 'Chilean', 'Laird', 'Eston', 'Redchief', 'Emerald', 'Palouse', and 'Crimson'. Production of the so-
35 called Spanish Brown type or 'Pardina' has greatly expanded over the past 5 years and currently
36 over 50,000 acres of that type are grown in the region. The commercial acreage of chickpea in
37 the U.S. is in the process of switching over to ascochyta resistant varieties developed by USDA-
38 ARS at Pullman, WA. Currently, nearly 95% of the production in the Palouse region is from
39 blight resistant cultivars; however, there are still problems with blight in California and there is
40 an effort to develop and introduce resistant cultivars there.

41
42 Faba bean production is extremely limited and diseases have not been a problem if
43 Ascochyta-free seed is used. White lupin is also grown on a limited acreage with some problems
44 with Fusarium wilt, Phytophthora root rot, a bacterial disease, and anthracnose.

45
46 Although an ancient crop of the mediterranean region, lupin (*Lupinus* spp.) is the most
47 recently developed crop of this group of food legumes and is grown primarily in the Southeast

5 and the Atlantic Provinces of Canada. Lupin was an important cover crop in the southeastern
6 United States from the late 1930s until the early 1950s. Currently, lupin is primarily grown as a
7 high protein feed for animals on very limited acreage. There is, however, a concerted effort in
8 the Southeast to revive lupin, particularly white lupin (*L. albus*) as a cover, silage and grain
9 crop. Cover crop effect on yield potential of ultra-narrow row cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.)
10 in the southeastern US generally follows the order legume>black oat>winter fallow and was
11 related to biomass production of the winter cover, as well as to type of cover (Reeves et al.,
12 1998). No-tillage into a white lupin cover crop that produced 6800 lb dry matter/A resulted in the
13 greatest lint yield (1390 lb/A).

14
15

5 **II. Present Germplasm Activities**

6 7 ***Cicer***

8 ICARDA and the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics
9 (ICRISAT) in India have extensive collections of chickpea. The initial material used to form
10 these collections was made available by the former USDA-ARS Regional Pulse Improvement
11 Project centered in Iran and India in the late 1960s. During the course of the project, 4,177
12 accessions of cultivated types were systematically assembled. Most of this collection is also
13 maintained by the USDA-ARS Regional Plant Introduction Station (RPIS) at Pullman,
14 Washington. The RPIS collection currently numbers 3,795 accessions representing both
15 cultivated and wild forms from about 20 countries. Several areas of the world are currently
16 under-represented in these collections. Prime examples are the countries of eastern Europe, such
17 as Iraq, Bulgaria, Romania, Yugoslavia, Italy, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and Afghanistan. Wild
18 species from southeastern Turkey and parts of Iraq are particularly lacking in the collections, but
19 represent potentially useful germplasm for cultivar improvement. These areas should provide
20 additional sources of Ascochyta blight resistance. Collection in those areas should be very
21 beneficial.

22 23 ***Lens***

24 The International Centers maintain the largest and most extensive germplasm collections of
25 several food legumes. The International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas
26 (ICARDA) located in Syria has a lentil collection of over 5,900 accessions. The ICARDA
27 collection includes the national collections from India, Iran, Turkey, Ethiopia and the U.S. In
28 addition, the ICARDA collection includes many of the wild species from their mandate areas and
29 the extensive collection of wild species of *Lens* made by Ladizinsky. The U.S. collection
30 contains over 4,500 accessions of cultivated and wild species that were collected from over 40
31 different countries. Several areas of the world where lentil is grown to a minor extent are not
32 well represented. Prime examples of under-represented areas are Morocco, Algeria and
33 Afghanistan, Eastern Europe, Ethiopia, Iraq, Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan.

34 35 ***Core Collections for Cicer and Lens***

36 Core collections of germplasm were developed for the chickpea collection in 1988, and for
37 the lentil collection in 1989. The chickpea core consists of 505 accessions which is 11% of the
38 current collection size. There are 278 lentil accessions in the core, which is 10% of the total
39 current collection. Both cores were selected by a modified logarithmic method, which placed
40 primary emphasis on the geographic country of origin. After grouping by country, secondary
41 selection was performed on the basis of seed and flower characteristics. Known unique
42 phenotypes were selected for inclusion as well. The modified logarithmic nature of the selection
43 was such that for countries represented by fewer than ten accessions, all accessions were
44 included in the core. From there it was scaled down so that for countries with 10-20 accessions,
45 ten were selected. For countries that had 20-30 accessions, half of the accessions were selected
46 for inclusion. Similar scaling was used to the point that for countries with more than 100
47 accessions, only 10% were selected for the core, with consideration of representation for seed

5 and flower characteristics. When each of these core collections was selected, each of the total
6 collections was considerably smaller, so the initial relative size of each core was about 15%,
7 instead of the 10% or 11% that it is today. Both of these cores have been utilized quite heavily
8 since they were developed.

9 ***Lathyrus and Trigonella***

10 The *Lathyrus* and *Trigonella* collections maintained at the RPIS in Pullman comprise 651
11 and 245 accessions, respectively (Table 1). Collections in other countries are quite small;
12 however, these crops are important in India, Pakistan, the Middle East and North Africa. They
13 are not produced to any extent in the U.S. However, near-zero neurotoxin lines of grasspea
14 (*Lathyrus sativus*) have been developed in Bangladesh and also in India and Canada. With this
15 development the crop will likely become more widely grown in developed and developing
16 countries in the foreseeable future.

17 ***Lupinus***

18
19 Twelve major International Centers maintain substantial number of accessions lupin (Table
20 1). The largest collections are maintained in Australia, France, Germany, and the UK. The
21 Regional Plant Introduction Station at Pullman currently maintains some 1060 accessions from
22 over 30 countries (Table 2). Cultivated lupin species (*L. albus*, *L. luteus* and *L. angustifolius*)
23 have their origin in the Mediterranean area, but another crop species (*L. mutabilis*) has its origin
24 in the Andean highlands of South America. North America is home to more than 150 native
25 species of noni-food lupin. Because of the high quinolizidine alkaloid content of these species
26 they are toxic to livestock. Another species was found to be one of the pioneers in the
27 revegetation of Mount St. Helens after the eruption. Native lupin, both domestic and abroad
28 represent a secondary genepool for the improvement of food and feed lupin species. More
29 collections need to be made in this area.

30
31
32

Table 1. Lupin accession held by various countries.

Country	No. Accession	Species	Information provided by
Australia	1000		
France	1600		C. Hyughe, INRA, Lusignan, France
	1200	albus	
	300	mutabilis	
	100	other (10 species)	
Bulgaria	221		
Germany	2800		FROM:
Hungary	255		Genetic Resources
Israel	186		Section Meeting of the EUCARPIA,
Poland	1000		Budapest, 1996.
Portugal	1000		PROVIDED BY:
Spain	1621		Wojciech Swiecicki, Poznan, Poland
UK	1200		(Manager European Lupinus Collections Database)
USA	1060	see Table 2	C. Simon, Curator, W-6 Large-seeded Legumes

Replicated field trials containing 74 *Lupinus albus* accessions (representing 27 countries) was conducted in central Maine in 1997 to evaluate white lupin for its potential for grain production under northern latitude conditions (Merrick 1997). The focus of the 1997 trial was to screen for resistance to anthracnose, a fungal disease caused by *Colletotrichum gloeosporoides*. Experimental application of anthracnose in 1997 proved unnecessary, however, because a significant natural outbreak of the disease occurred. The first lesions were apparent in the field within weeks of plant emergence and by mid-July most rows exhibited diseased or dead plants with one to multiple lesions. Unfortunately the trial ended prematurely in late July due to severe stress caused by the simultaneous abundant infestation of *Empoasca fabae*, the potato leafhopper, which was present in extremely high numbers on the lupin plants as well as reported state-wide as seriously limiting yields for potato, dry bean, and other crops. Data from July sampling periods indicate that two Polish accessions--PI 468128 ('Kalina') and a landrace known as 'Byaly'--and an improved Ukrainian variety, cv. Vladimir, were the most promising white lupin accessions for potential anthracnose resistance. Each had almost no lesions present across all replicated plots. Vladimir has been one of the earliest maturing varieties evaluated in previous University of Maine trials and was rated as one of the least anthracnose-susceptible (none were

highly resistant) cultivars in a multi-year trial of seven white lupin cultivars in Nova Scotia.

Table 2. Status of the US Cool Season Food Legume Collections (March 1998)

Taxon	Crop	No. of PIs	No. of W6s	Total No.	Avail-ability (%)	Total in NSSL(%)
<u><i>Cicer</i></u>						
<i>arietinum</i>	chickpea	3998	432	4430	3987(99)	3860(97)
<i>other</i>	20 species	127	12	139	105(83)	33(26)
<u><i>Lathyrus</i></u>						
<i>sativus</i>	grasspea	227	15	242	222(98)	166(73)
<i>other</i>	32 species	216	193	409	187(87)	87(40)
<u><i>Lens</i></u>						
<i>culinaris</i>	lentil	2389	323	2722	2388(99)	2385(99)
<i>other</i>	4 species	91	67	158	67(74)	0 (0)
<u><i>Lupinus</i></u>						
<i>albus</i>	white lupin	158	159	317	124(78)	53(34)
<i>angustifolius</i>	blue lupin	152	30	182	96(63)	68(45)
<i>mutabilis</i>	tarwi	68	11	79	19(28)	1 (1)
<i>luteus</i>	yellow lupin	54	14	68	27(50)	8(15)
<i>other</i>	47 species	313	101	414	187(60)	75(24)
<u><i>Trigonella</i></u>						
<i>foenum-graecum</i>	fenugreek	156	18	174	152(97)	155(99)
<i>other</i>	22 species	54	17	71	49(91)	25(46)
<u><i>Vicia</i></u>						
<i>sativa</i>	common vetch	574	89	663	534(93)	496(86)
<i>faba</i>	broadbean	403	142	545	392(97)	316(78)
<i>ervilia</i>	bitter vetch	117	26	143	91(78)	16(14)

5	<i>villosa</i>	hairy vetch	87	15	104	58(65)	21(24)
6	<i>benghalensis</i>	purple vetch	30	0	30	23(77)	1 (3)
7	<i>pannonica</i>	hungarian v.	16	2	19	6(38)	0 (0)
8	<i>other</i>	34 species	166	308	474	74(45)	7 (4)

9

10 ***Vicia***

11 An extensive collection of faba bean (*Vicia faba*) is maintained at ICARDA. Also,
 12 collections for breeding purposes are held at Bari, Italy, and Braunsweig and Gatersleben,
 13 Germany. A large collection is held at St. Petersburg, USSR, but we have limited access.
 14 Germplasm of faba bean from China, India, Afghanistan, Iran and the Andes of South America is
 15 under-represented in these collections. Faba beans have been isolated in the Andes for over 300
 16 years and many land races are present, with one or more in each valley. Some of the Andean
 17 land races are resistant to chocolate spot, caused by *Botrytis fabae*. The U.S. collection held in
 18 Pullman contains only 353 accessions. The center of origin of faba bean or its wild progenitor is
 19 unknown, but the largest producer of the crop is China where approximately 60% of the world's
 20 production is grown.

21

22 Discussions began in late 1992, which considered the consolidation of the entire *Vicia*
 23 collection at the Western Regional Plant Introduction Station in Pullman, WA. At that time, of
 24 the 1585 *Vicia* accessions, 379 were assigned to the South Atlantic Area Regional Plant
 25 Introduction Station in Griffin, Georgia, with the other 1206 accessions already in Pullman.
 26 While Griffin had fewer accessions than Pullman, they had a larger number of species. In a
 27 memorandum dated March 19, 1993 from Dr. Gil Lovell, former Coordinator in Griffin, to Dr.
 28 Ray Clark, former Coordinator in Pullman, merits of moving the 379 Griffin accessions to
 29 Pullman were delineated. In this memo, Dr. Lovell stated: "In the 13 years I have been here we
 30 have not grown vetch for seed increase for two reasons: (1) because of limited plot land our
 31 summer season plantings interfered with early fall plantings of vetch and our hot May and June
 32 temperatures reduced flower set and pod maturity, and (2) there was little documented
 33 information of the pollination modes (self or cross-pollination) of the various species we hold."
 34 The memo went on to discuss a project funded to Dr. Jorge Mosjidis researching *Vicia*
 35 pollination systems, which is discussed elsewhere in this report. On April 12, 1993, Dr. Clark
 36 agreed to the transfer in a memo to Dr. Lovell, and the 379 Griffin accessions arrived in Pullman
 37 shortly thereafter.

38

39 Information on the breeding system of plants is crucial for maintenance of accessions in
 40 germplasm banks (Zhang Mosjidis, 1995). Controlled pollination experiments were conducted in
 41 the field to determine the breeding systems of several *Vicia* species. *Vicia articulata* Hornem., *V.*
 42 *benghalensis* L., *V. ervilia* (L.) Willd., *V. lutea* L., and *V. sativa* L. were automatic self-
 43 fertilizing species. *Vicia pannonica* Crantz was nonautomatic self-fertilizing. *Vicia villosa* Roth

5 ssp. *villosa* and *V. villosa* Roth ssp. *varia* (Host) Corbiere were cross-fertilizing. Therefore,
6 regeneration of the accessions of the latter two species requires the use of procedures that provide
7 isolation from foreign pollen.

8
9 Maintenance and use of germplasm collections of *Vicia* species is difficult because of
10 limited information on their mating systems (Zhang Mosjidis, 1998). Polymorphism of seven
11 enzyme systems in 31 accessions of 12 *Vicia* species were measured using isoelectric focusing
12 with the purpose of inferring their mating system. Polymorphism indices were calculated using
13 isozyme bands to compare variability within and among accessions and between species. All
14 enzymes studied had polymorphic banding patterns. Twenty eight accessions were variable for
15 at least one of the seven enzymes, whereas three other accessions were uniform for all seven
16 enzymes. Within-accession variation was larger than that among accessions in *V. villosa* and *V.*
17 *villosa* ssp. *varia*, whereas within-accession variation was smaller than that among accessions of
18 *V. articulata*, *V. benghalensis*, *V. cracca*, *V. ervilia*, *V. lutea*, *V. narbonensis*, *V. pannonica*, *V.*
19 *peregrina*, and *V. sativa*. The results agreed with field tests which demonstrated that *V. villosa*
20 and *V. villosa* ssp. *varia* are predominantly cross-fertilizing species, whereas the other species are
21 mainly self-fertilizing. The use of isozyme bands to determine mating system proved to be
22 accurate. In addition, this method is convenient because it utilizes a small seed sample and is
23 inexpensive and easy to perform.

24 25 **III. Status of Crop Vulnerability**

26 27 ***Cicer***

28 Chickpea is vulnerable to several diseases that have, in certain instances, caused severe
29 crop losses. Foremost of these diseases is Ascochyta blight caused by *Ascochyta rabiei*. This
30 disease is seedborne and is prevalent in the Palouse region, California and other areas of North
31 America where the crop is grown. Ascochyta blight is prevalent in most chickpea-growing
32 regions of the world. Work on this disease is centered on development of resistant cultivars.
33 Resistant breeding material is available from the ICARDA and ICRISAT programs. However,
34 new and more virulent pathotypes or races of the fungus have developed which have overcome
35 the available resistance. Three pathotypes of the fungus have been identified in the Middle East
36 that are currently capable of causing disease on previously considered resistant germplasm.
37 Fortunately, the resistance deployed in the U.S. has remained stable and it seems that in the near
38 future the disease can be controlled satisfactorily. Fusarium wilt of chickpea is caused by
39 *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*, a soilborne disease, and consists of several races. This fungus
40 is seedborne. Resistance to the disease is conferred by at least two recessive genes. Host plant
41 resistance offers the least costly, most effective and most environmentally acceptable means of
42 control. This disease is prevalent in California, but has not been reported in the Palouse region.
43 Other diseases, such as Botrytis grey mold, are important elsewhere, but not in the U.S. Insect
44 pests of chickpea are not important in the U.S. except for the aphids which transmit viruses. In
45 other regions of the world, *Helicoverpa (Heliothis) armigera* is by far the most damaging pest of
46 chickpea, while leaf miner can also cause problems.

5 *Lens*

6 The root rot/wilt complex is the most important disease of lentil worldwide, but is
 7 generally not a serious problem in the U.S. Ascochyta blight of lentils, incited by *Ascochyta*
 8 *fabae* f. sp. *lentis* can be economically important in areas that receive heavy summer rainfall.
 9 These conditions generally do not occur in the Palouse region. Anthracnose caused by
 10 *Colletotrichum truncatum*, has recently become an important disease in the more humid part of
 11 the lentil growing area in western Canada and in the expanding production areas of North
 12 Dakota. Rust (*Uromyces viciae-fabae*) is a serious disease of lentil in South America, India,
 13 Pakistan, Morocco and Ethiopia, but it does not occur in the U.S. Utmost care must be exercised
 14 in the movement of seeds into the U.S. from rust-infested areas. Currently available commercial
 15 cultivars are susceptible to the disease. Several viruses naturally infect lentil in the Palouse area,
 16 including pea enation mosaic, pea streak, bean (pea) leaf roll and alfalfa mosaic. In the U.S.,
 17 lentil is attacked by several aphid species which transmit viruses and sometimes cause direct
 18 damage. The most important insect pest of lentil in the U.S. is *Lygus* bug (*Lygus* spp.) which
 19 causes an anomaly locally known as "Chalky spot". Worldwide, insect pests of lentil include
 20 pod borers, aphids, weevils, bruchids and cutworms.

21 *Lupinus*

22 Diseases of crop lupins will become important as acreage increases because of the
 23 reservoir of inoculum available in the many and widely distributed species of wild lupin in the
 24 U.S. Anthracnose, caused by *Colletotrichum*, has recently become serious in many areas.
 25 Domestically, diseases caused by the *Fusarium* complex, *Colletotrichum*, and *Pleiochaeta* are
 26 most yield limiting. No important insect pests occur on lupin in the U.S., except for the seed
 27 corn maggot. *Lygus* bugs may also serve as a vector of a bacterial disease of lupin. Bud worm
 28 moths are destructive in some countries. Other pests include mites, fleas, aphids and thrips.
 29 Recently, however, a lupin fly (exact species still not determined) has become noticeable in some
 30 lupin plantings (K. Flanders, 1998, personal communication).

31 *Vicia*

32 Worldwide, faba beans are affected by a number of diseases including chocolate spot
 33 (*Botrytis fabae*), rust (*Uromyces viciae-fabae*) and Ascochyta leaf spot (*Ascochyta fabae*).
 34 Numerous viruses affect the crop, including pea enation mosaic, bean leaf roll, pea streak, bean
 35 yellow mosaic and alfalfa mosaic. No important insect pests occur on faba bean in the U.S. other
 36 than aphids which serve as vectors for a number of virus diseases. Worldwide, important insect
 37 pests include leaf weevils, bruchids and aphids.

38 **IV. Germplasm Needs**

39 *Collection*

40 Even though the collections of lentil and chickpea held by the USDA RPIS in Pullman
 41 are large, a number of identifiable gaps exist. These gaps include Russia, the Ukraine, eastern
 42 Turkey, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Afghanistan, Iraq, Ethiopia and Iran. In particular, collection
 43 is needed in southeastern Turkey and Iraq where these crops are widely grown and where the
 44
 45
 46
 47

5 wild relatives of these crops are also found. Accessions from India, Iran, China and the Andes
 6 of South America are not well represented in the U.S. collection of faba bean, even though these
 7 are the world's most important production areas. The U.S. lupin collection is small. However,
 8 additional collection of lupin is not a high priority item in view of the numerous collections of
 9 lupins held in other countries. What is important, however, is to develop formal agreements
 10 which will allow the timely exchange of material.

11
 12 Exploration and collections of cultivated and wild species of lentil and chickpea should
 13 be planned for areas that are not now well represented in the U.S. collection. For example,
 14 chickpea should be collected in Russia and the Ukraine because those countries are a source of
 15 material with resistance to *Ascochyta* blight. Neighbouring countries might also be explored for
 16 cultivated forms and related wild species. Lentil also should be collected in areas of Turkey,
 17 Iran, Iraq and Afghanistan. These areas are not well represented in our collection, but have wide
 18 genetic variation among cultivated forms. Also, a number of wild relatives of lentil occur there.

19
 20 With regard to faba bean, lupin, *Lathyrus* and *Trigonella*, species can be collected
 21 concurrently with the collection of lentil and chickpea. Recent plant explorations for food
 22 legumes have been made in countries of the former USSR, particularly Uzbekistan, and China;
 23 however, variation collected there has been minimal compared to collections that have been
 24 made closer to the centers of origin. We have identified a good contact in Ethiopia for possible
 25 future collection there. The committee needs someone willing to propose and carry out a
 26 collection mission there.

27 28 **Evaluation**

29 Collections of these crops should be evaluated for several factors currently restricting
 30 production. These include *Ascochyta* blight of chickpea, pea enation mosaic virus of lentil,
 31 *Fusarium* wilt of chickpea, alkaloid content of white lupin, and stress tolerance (mainly tolerance
 32 to drought) in chickpea and lentil. At the present time the only known systematic screening has
 33 been the screening of the core collection of chickpea for resistance to *Ascochyta* blight at
 34 Pullman and the screening of the lentil core collection for resistance to pea enation mosaic virus,
 35 also at Pullman. Data from these evaluations have been added to the GRIN database. Accessions
 36 of lupin should be tested for alkaloid content, a simple, inexpensive assay. All accessions should
 37 be classified with regard to the vernalization requirements as winter, semi-winter, or spring
 38 types. These data are partially available from germplasm evaluation studies conducted by
 39 Noffsinger (1993) but need to be incorporated into the GRIN database. Lupin accessions,
 40 furthermore, need to be evaluated for reaction to *Colletotrichum*, *Fusarium*, and *Pleochaeta*. *Phoma*

41 42 **Enhancement**

43 Wild species, especially those in the primary gene pools of the cool season food legumes,
 44 are needed to expand the available genetic variation for growth, yield, tolerance to stresses, and
 45 disease resistance. Currently, the wild species are not being used to any great extent in breeding
 46 these crops. Introgression of genetic material from the primary gene pool into the genomes of
 47 these crops can be accomplished by hybridization followed by selection and backcrossing to
 48 adapted cultivars to recover adapted and/or disease resistant types. A continuing effort is

scientific

5 required to continually introgress desired traits from the wild species of lentil and chickpea to the
6 cultivated species.

7
8 Material available from the International Agricultural Research Centres (ICARDA &
9 ICRISAT) should be obtained and added to existing collections in the U.S. The ICARDA center
10 has chickpea lines with *Ascochyta* blight resistance and large seed size. These lines appear
11 promising based on performance at Pullman and are currently being evaluated. The ICRISAT
12 program in India has made available *ascochyta* blight resistant, *Fusarium* wilt resistant and bean
13 leaf roll virus (chickpea stunt) resistant material for evaluation in the U.S. The ICARDA
14 program has provided selections from interspecific crosses in lentil for further evaluation. This
15 material may have tolerance to heat and drought since the wild species were collected from stony
16 and very dry habitats.

17
18 For white lupin we need to develop populations which have improved resistance to the
19 three major disease organisms, *Colletotrichum*, *Fusarium*, and *Pleiochaeta*. It is not critical that
20 they are developed in both spring or winter types because these two types are readily crossed in
21 the greenhouse; flowering can be synchronized through judicious vernalization and/or altering
22 the greenhouse temperature during development. It will be important to forge joint ventures with
23 other countries to obtain these goals.

24 25 ***Preservation***

26 The seed collections of lentil, chickpea, faba bean, lupin, grasspea and fenugreek at the
27 RPIS in Pullman are stored at 4-5°C and a relative humidity of 30-35%. Also, a backup of these
28 collections is maintained at the National Seed Storage Laboratory (NSSL) at Ft. Collins,
29 Colorado at -18°C and/or under cryopreservation conditions (liquid N). New material from
30 exploration and collection expeditions and other sources is systematically increased and added to
31 the collection.

32 33 **V. Recommendations**

34
35 Germplasm for development of commercially acceptable cultivars of these crops is
36 required if these crops are to remain viable to U.S. agriculture.

37 38 ***Research Priorities***

- 39 1. Evaluate the *Lupinus* collection for response to *Colletotrichum*
- 40
- 41 1. Continue the evaluation of the *Cicer* collection for *Ascochyta* blight resistance.
- 42
- 43 2. Continue the evaluation of the *Lens* collection for resistance to pea enation mosaic virus.
- 44
- 45 2. Evaluate the *Lupinus* collection for seed alkaloid concentration.
- 46
- 47 3. Evaluating the *Lens* collection for *Ascochyta* blight resistance..
- 48
- 49 3. Evaluating the *Lens* collection for BLRV resistance.

- 5 3. Evaluate the *Lupinus albus* collection for response to *Fusarium*
6
7 5. Fill gaps in collections of lentil and chickpea by additional exploration and collection in
8 areas poorly represented in our existing collection. Primary areas for additional
9 collection include eastern Turkey, Central Asia, Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and Ethiopia.

10
11 ***Level of Support.***

- 12 1. Explorations for unique germplasm in the areas mentioned is desirable. An exploration
13 trip to Bulgaria was made in 1996. Contact has been made for a possible exchange of
14 germplasm with Ethiopia and exploration and collection there in the near future.
15
16 2. Evaluation of the core collection of chickpea germplasm for resistance to *Ascochyta*
17 blight was completed at Pullman. Evaluation of accessions in the base collection could be
18 accomplished at an estimated cost of about \$10,000 per year for about 500 accessions per
19 year. Emphasis in the screening program is to identify sources of resistance that might be
20 used in the breeding program.
21
22 3. Screening of the lentil collection for resistance to pea enation mosaic virus is currently on
23 hold after initial success with the core collection. However, additional evaluations of the
24 base collection could be undertaken with some funding support. Collection of germplasm
25 from countries with a high frequency of resistant lines would likely be very productive.
26
27 4. Techniques for evaluation of lentil germplasm for tolerance to drought have been
28 developed and involve the use of laboratory/growth chamber tests followed by field
29 verification. Funds are needed for equipment and labor to initiate this activity.
30
31 5. Evaluation of the lupin collection for seed alkaloid concentration and resistance to stated
32 disease organisms can be easily done. This evaluation of the lupin collection would go a
33 long way toward making it more useful to breeders.

34
35 **VI. Source of Funding**

36
37 State Agricultural Experiment Stations cooperate in germplasm activities by providing
38 facilities (laboratories, greenhouses and land for the work). Industry is interested in the work,
39 but generally devotes its limited funds to projects that provide a more immediate return on
40 investment. The major costs of germplasm activities on the cool season food legumes must be
41 provided by ARS.

42
43 **VII. Literature Cited**

- 44
45 Merrick, L. 1997. Evaluation of Early Maturing White Lupin Germplasm for Northern Latitude
46 Grain Production. 1997 Annual Report for Research Agreement No. 59-5348-6-798
47 (USDA ARS / University of Maine). CRIS No. 5348-21000-006-04G (Period: April 01,
48 1996 - September 30, 1998).
49
50 Noffsinger, S.L. 1993. Evaluating the potential of white lupin for the State of Alabama. M.S.

- 5 Thesis, Auburn University. 111p.
6
- 7 Reeves, D. W., P. J. Bauer, C. D. Monks, D. P. Delaney, C. H. Burmester, and R. W. Goodman.
8 1998. Ultra-narrow row cotton: tillage, cover crops, and nitrogen. Proc. Beltwide Cotton
9 Conf., January 5-9, 1998. San Diego, CA. (in press). National Cotton Council.
- 10
- 11 Zhang, X. and J.A. Mosjidis. 1995. Breeding system of several Vicia species. Crop Science 35:
12 1200-1202.
- 13
- 14 Zhang, X. and J.A. Mosjidis. 1998. Rapid prediction of mating system of Vicia species. Crop
15 Sci.(In Press).
- 16
17

5 **VIII. Contributors to this Report**

7	Contributor	Organization	Location
8	L. Merrick	University of Maine	Orono, Maine
9	Jorge Mosjidis	Auburn University	Auburn, AL
10	Fred Muehlbauer	USDA-ARS	Pullman, WA
11	D. Wayne Reeves	USDA-ARS	Auburn, AL
12	Edzard van Santen (ed)	Auburn University	Auburn, AL
13	Chuck Simon	USDA-ARS-W6	Pullman, WA
14	Al Slinkard	Univ. Of Saskatchewan	Saskatoon, Canada

15
16
17

<http://www.ncaur.usda.gov/nc/ncdb/search.html-ssi>