

Minutes from Tomato CGC meeting on April 5, 2018 at the Tomato Breeders Roundtable in Wooster, OH.

Meeting Attendees:

- Richard Ozminkowski (Chair)
- David Francis
- John Snyder
- Barbara Liedl
- Sam Hutton
- Dilip Panthee
- Jasmine Lopez
- John Stommel
- Roger Chelelat (via Zoom)
- Phyllis Himmel (via Zoom)

Not in Attendance:

- Jit Baral
- Majid Foolad
- Joanne Labate
- Felix Serquen

The meeting was called to order at 7:06 pm by Rich Ozminkowski, Chair.

1. **Discussion on the Question from PGRU on the PVPs and Dolly Baker material:** Joanne sent email about prioritizing the lines coming off PVP to look at increasing for the collection. David F. questioned what Joanne's question to the committee was regarding the material. In the past members have offered to help PGRU increasing but they haven't ever taken up this offer. A question arose about how many we would be dealing with and who is in the best position to help Joanne. The next question was will this be every year or is this a onetime increase. One point was made that the question about dealing with PVPs may have come up because of the maize CGC that has been taken in lines coming off PVP and now small companies are interested in using them.

Dolly Baker material: This consists of small samples of seed from an organic grower. We discussed again the same points from the conference call earlier this year. We are still waiting to know about the sourcing and follow up with what is in the collection. Roger suggests leaving we leave this up to Joanne to decide if it adds to the collection because this is the job for a curator. But it was pointed out, Joanne did ask us for input. The general consensus was that we should see what is of value in the collection, however the CGC has concerns on the material as mentioned above and in the prior conference call and thus we feel we need more information.

2. **Trait Evaluation List:** Rich has received six surveys back as of this meeting. He stated that if he had ten surveys by Monday then he would have brought the information. The group looked at what we have so far but decided that we needed more surveys back. As of today, there is more processing than fresh answers represented. The group decided, if Rich doesn't have ten in before end of day Wed (4/11 at 5 pm PT), he will present the data as both total as well as split between processing vs.

fresh. The discussion continued on why we want to separate the data or not. David and Roger do not think we should show the data split, as this would suggest doing reporting by production rather than market class. It was decided we will submit as the results as a unified set of data, but also look at the data separately.

3. **Crop Vulnerability Statement:** We are using a revised quad outline (SWOT analysis) similar to what the potato and apple groups have done. This has been populated based on the draft we had work on in the past. The group added additional items onto the quad outline to have a draft to work from now. We also discussed the critical value of the Davis collection for the tomato community and our concerns about this collection. The meeting was adjourned at 8:56 pm.

Respectfully submitted by B. E. Liedl